	Case 1:04-cv-00325-SJM Document 8	54-4	Filed 03/06/2006 Page 1 of 14
Γ	Page 771		Page 4
	1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	PROCEEDINGS 10:08 A.M.
	WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record.
	3 4 INDECK KEYSTONE ENERGY LLC)	3	Today is Monday, December 5th, 2005, and the time is
1	4 INDECK KEYSTONE ENERGY LLC)	4	approximately 10:08 a.m.
	5 Plaintiff,)	5	This begins Tape No. 1 in the videotaped
		6	
1 '	6 vs.) Civil No. 04-325 Erie		deposition of Alan Christian. We're at the Law
.) Judge Sean J. McLaughlin 7 VICTORY ENERGY OPERATIONS)	7	Offices of Hall Prangle & Schoonveld, LLC, 300 South
	LLC,)	8	Fourth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada.
1 :	B)	9	This deposition has been ordered by
i	Defendant.)	10	Christopher Sheean, representing the defendant. We
	9)	11	are here in the matter of "Indeck Keystone Energy,
1	•	12	LLC, Plaintiff, versus Victory Energy Operations,
1		13	Defendant." This case is in the United States
1		14	District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania.
1	The state of the s	15	Civil Action No. 04-325 Erie.
1 1		16	My name is Tim Hartmanszerbiec, Court Video
1	Taken on Monday, December 5, 2005	17	Specialist, for Certified Legal Videography, Las
1		18	Vegas, Nevada. The court reporter is Michelle
1	the training of delicent clay 220	19	Johnson, for Cameo Kayser & Associates.
١.,	300 South Fourth Street, Suite 1001	20	Will counsel please identify yourselves and
1 2		21	whom you represent for the record, starting with the
2		22	plaintiff's counsel.
2.		23	MR. WILLIAMS: My name is Robert Williams. I
2		24	represent the plaintiff, Indeck Keystone Energy, LLC.
2:		25	MR. SHEEAN: My name is Christopher Sheean.
Ľ	- Reported by: Pricticite C. JOHNSON		MR. SHEEAN. My hame is christopher Sheean.
,	Page 3		Page 5
	INDEX	1	I'm with Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon in Chicago.
	ALAN W. CHRISTIAN	2	And I represent the defendant, Victory Energy
3	Examination by Mr. Sheean 5	3	Operations, LLC.
4		4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The reporter will
5	Examination by Mr. Williams 96	5	administer the oath.
۱	Further Examination by Mr. Sheean 171	ء ا	Address the Odiff.

				
. /		Page 3		Page 5
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	I N D E X WITNESS PAGE		1	I'm with Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon in Chicago.
	ALAN W. CHRISTIAN		2	And I represent the defendant, Victory Energy
3	Examination by Mr. Sheean 5		3	Operations, LLC.
4	•		4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The reporter will
5	Examination by Mr. Williams 96		5	administer the oath.
6	Further Examination by Mr. Sheean 171		6	Whereupon
7	EXHIBITS		7	ALAN W. CHRISTIAN,
8 9	NUMBER PAGE Christian Deposition		8	being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole
10	1 "Amended Notice of Videotaped Deposition 68		9	truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and
11	of Alan Christian"		10	testified as follows:
	2 CONFIDENTIAL - Printout of e-mail, 69		11	
12 13	8/8/03 (VEO0936) 3 CONFIDENTIAL - Printout of e-mail, 69			EXAMINATION EXAMINATION
14	9/5/03 (VEO0941)		12	BY MR. SHEEAN:
	4 CONFIDENTIAL - Printout of e-mail, 69		13	Q. Sir, would you please state your full name
15 16	6/24/03 (IKE 000154) 5 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - Printout of e-mail, 76		14	for the record.
	10/4/04 (IKE 07971)		15	A. Alan Wayne Christian.
17	6 Printout of e-mail, 3/14/05 (IKE 07749) 76		16	Q. Mr. Christian, where do you reside?
18	(Interest of a many 6/11/65 (Interest 15)		17	A. In Danville, California.
19	7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - Printout of e-mail 76 with attachments, 3/17/05 (IKE 07931-65)		18	Q. Can you please give your current address.
20	8 3/24/05 letter to Christian from West 76		19	A. 593 Old Orchard Drive, Danville, California,
21	9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - Printout of e-mail 76 with attachments, 5/18/04 (IKE 07980-82)		20	94526.
22	,		21	Q. Let the record reflect that this is the
23	Design and Manufacturing" brochure		22	deposition of Alan Wayne Christian taken pursuant to
24	(IKE 07437-42)		23	subpoena and notice, pursuant to the Federal Rules of
•	11 CONFIDENTIAL - Various documents 87		24	Civil Procedure and all applicable local rules.
25 1	beginning with 11/29/05 "Memorandum" to Williams from Christian		25	Mr. Christian, have you ever had your
L				The state of the s

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

17

20

21

Page 34

those types of boilers that you are referring to?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Well, the ones that I was interested in burn primarily ag waste, which is prevalent on the West Coast, and particularly in California. And we've been very successful selling those, so there were a lot of those types of boilers that formed a key base for a segment of my market.

- Q. And just, again, so the record is clear, can you define for me what your understanding of aftermarket parts is?
- A. Well, these were parts for those boilers that were manufactured by the division, the company, that had now become known as Indeck Keystone.
- Q. Why did you say that that was an important point that you and Mr. Petcos reached this handshake over-the-phone deal for your company to continue to offer those parts?
- A. A significant portion of the products that I represent service that market. They're not all boiler company manufactured parts, but they all fit together as a package. And that formed a significant portion of my revenue, and if I lost the boiler portion of that, the boiler parts manufacturing portion, it would force me to reevaluate how I was going to service the long-term existing customers as well as replace that

aftermarket parts in the fall of 2004 after the

- 2 transition of the assets from EPTI to IKE?
 - A. Yes, we did.
 - Q. And did you close on any sales during that time for IKE?
 - A. Yes, uh-huh. Some fairly significant orders.

Page 36

Page 37

- Q. And during that same time period, the fall of
- 8 2004, were you able to obtain any contracts with 9 **Victory Energy?**
 - A. Sometime in the second half of '04, I got the one and only Victory order, which was for HRSGs. The one and only Victory order that I was involved with.
 - Q. Had you -- strike that.

14 During the time that you were a 15 representative for Victory Energy, had you submitted other proposals from Victory to potential customers? 16

- A. Yes. Yes, we had.
- 18 Q. Do you know approximately how many, off the 19 top of your head?
 - A. No, I don't. But it was probably in excess of 20.
- 22 Q. Is there any specific reason in your mind --23 strike that.

24 Did you believe that you could be successful if you continued as a representative for Victory 25

Page 35

business with some other manufacturer's parts.

Q. Did you and Mr. Petcos have any other conversation during this initial discussion regarding what types of products Christian Power would continue to represent?

A. Um, my interest focused on this aftermarket solid fuel market. And that's really the primary focus of our conversation.

Q. At any point during that initial conversation, did Mr. Petcos say anything to the effect that Christian Power would need to represent all of the products of Indeck if it wanted to continue to represent the aftermarket parts sector?

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection to the form.

THE WITNESS: No.

BY MR. SHEEAN:

- Q. Was there any mention about the representative relationship between Christian Power and Victory Energy during that initial conversation?
- Q. And in the fall of 2004, when this initial conversation took place, was Christian Power still a representative for Victory Energy?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And did Christian Power continue to sell

1 **Energy?**

4

5

6

7

9

12

- 2 A. Yes, I could have survived representing 3 Victory. Yes, I could have been successful.
 - Q. During the time that you were a representative for Victory Energy, did you offer any proposals for water-tube package boilers?
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. From Victory Energy?
 - A. From Victory.
- 10 Q. Were you in any way dissatisfied with the 11 products that Victory Energy offered for sale?
 - A. In general, no, I was not dissatisfied.
- 13 Every job has a lot of details, and it's impossible
- for every job to be proposed exactly the way you would 14
- envision it. So I can't say it was always my opinion 15
- that it was the best way to propose, but in general I 16
- 17 was happy with the way Victory responded.
- 18 Q. Why did Christian -- Why did Christian Power terminate its rep agreement with Victory Energy? 19
- 20 A. Well, ultimately I had to make a decision
- 21 between Victory and Indeck Keystone. So the primary
- 22 reason was to be able to maintain my after market with 23
- the solid fuel boilers.
- 24 Q. Why did Christian Power have to make a 25 decision between Victory Energy and Indeck Keystone

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

25

7

8

9

16

18

23

Page 38

Energy?

A. Because Indeck Keystone considered it -- in my opinion, Indeck Keystone considered it a conflict to represent both Victory and Indeck Keystone.

Q. Whom from -- strike that.

Did anyone from Indeck Keystone Energy tell you that it was a conflict of interest for Christian Power to represent both Victory Energy and Indeck Keystone Energy?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 11 O. Who?
- 12 A. Chris Petcos.
- 13 Q. Anyone else?
- A. Well, I'm pretty sure just about anybody at Indeck Keystone would have said that.
- Q. I don't want you to guess or speculate; I
 just want you to tell me what you recall. Do you
 recall any conversations with anyone, other than Chris
 Petcos, at Indeck Keystone Energy wherein they stated
 that it would be a conflict of interest for Christian
 Power to continue to represent Victory Energy and
 Indeck Keystone Energy?
 - A. Well, Chris was my primary contact, so he was the one carrying the message, I think.
 - Q. Did anyone within Indeck, but outside of

recall with anyone relating to whether or not
 Christian Power would be allowed to continue to
 represent both Indeck Keystone Energy and Victory
 Energy?

Page 40

A. I don't think that position was clearly stated until probably November of '04. And I don't think it was a firm statement even then. But by December of '04, it was.

9 MR. SHEEAN: Okay, I don't want to get cut 10 off, so let's take our break now.

11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Tape 12 No. 1. We're off the record at 11:05 a.m.

13 (Recess taken.)

14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of

15 Tape No. 2. We're on the record at 11:12 a.m.

16 You may proceed.

BY MR. SHEEAN:

Q. Mr. Christian, before we went off the record, you had mentioned a couple of conversations in the fall of 2004. I believe you testified that in November of 2004, the prospect that it would be a

- conflict of interest to represent both Victory Energy
 and Indeck Keystone Energy was first raised, but it
- wasn't set as a definitive position; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

Page 39

Indeck Keystone Energy, ever discuss with you the

belief that it was a conflict of interest forChristian Power to continue to represent

Christian Power to continue to represent both Victory Energy and Indeck Keystone Energy?

- A. Well, there were meetings, even at PowerGen, where I'd say a number of Indeck individuals were present when the topic was being discussed.
 - Q. Well, I want to go through --

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Four minutes.

MR. SHEEAN: We'll start this process.

- Q. I want to go through with you the conversations you had leading up to that decision. You told about the first conversation you had with Mr. Petcos over the phone wherein he said you could continue to represent the aftermarket parts, correct?
 - A. Yes, uh-huh.
- Q. When was the next time you had any conversation with anyone associated with Indeck relating to your continuation of -- as a representative for Victory Energy?
- A. Well, those conversations went on, I'd say, pretty regularly through the fall and winter of '04, even into early '05.
- Q. To the best of your recollection, when was
 the next specific meeting or conversation that you can

Page 41

Q. And then in December of 2004, it was stated
as an affirmative position by Indeck Keystone Energy,
correct?

A. As I recall, I think the first -- we'll call
 it absolute statement -- happened at PowerGen in a
 meeting there.

- Q. The meeting in November of 2004, was that another telephone conference with Chris Petcos?
 - A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 10 Q. Anyone else on the line in that conversation?
- 11 A. I don't recall ever having a conference call 12 when there was more than just myself and one other 13 person.
- Q. And then in December, the meeting that you
 referenced at PowerGen, that was in person, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And that was in Orlando?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall where the conversation --strike that.

You said there were a series of conversations at PowerGen. Is that right?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Do you recall where the first conversation took place?

Page 42

- A. Probably just in the booth, the Indeck Keystone booth.
 - Q. And who was present?
- A. A number of Indeck Keystone employees. The person I was primarily talking with would have been Chris Petcos.
- Q. Do you recall the names of any of the other individuals who were there?
- A. No, I don't. I know Jeff was probably there. But other than -- the individuals they brought to the show were all standing in the booth.
- Q. When you say "Jeff," are you referring to Jeff Coale?
- 14 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Do you know who Jeff Coale works for?
- 16 A. I believe he works for Marsha Forsythe.
 - Q. And the company that he works for?
- 18 A. Indeck, I think.
- 19 Q. Anyone other than Mr. Petcos and Mr. Coale 20 that you can recall who were present during this first 21 conversation?
- 22 A. I can't recall who was there.
- 23 Q. Who primarily did the talking on behalf of 24 Indeck at that time?
 - A. Um, well, since I knew Chris the best and the

1 A. Um, I believe there is a restriction on what 2 they can offer, yes.

Page 44

Page 45

- 3 Q. What is your understanding of the restriction 4 on what they can offer?
- A. I believe that, for package boilers, it has 5 6 to do -- boilers have to be over 150,000 pounds an 7 hour.
- 8 Q. What is the basis for your understanding that 9 the boilers have to be over 150,000 pounds per hour?
- 10 A. Well, I believe that's what they've told us. And I am not sure if the contract states that or not, 11 12 but that's what I've been told.
- Q. Did you have an understanding of the range of 14 products that Victory Energy was licensed to sell Keystone boilers for as a licensee for Erie Power?
- 16 A. I am not aware that it's a license from Erie 17 Power that Indeck Keystone has.
- 18 Q. I asked a bad question. Let me clean it up 19 for you, okay?
- 20 A. Okav.

13

15

21

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

Q. Did you ever have an understanding of whether 22 or not there was a limitation on the size capacity 23 range for the boilers that Victory Energy was authorized to manufacture and sell from either Erie 24 25 **Power or Indeck Keystone Energy?**

- longest, he is the one I preferred to hear from, 1
- 2 honestly. And Chris was -- for me, at least, Chris 3 was my primary contact.
 - Q. To the best of your recollection, what did Mr. Petcos say relative to your continuing to represent both Victory Energy and Indeck Keystone
 - **Energy?**
 - A. My primary interest was to investigate the possibility of representing Indeck Keystone for aftermarket parts sales and to continue with Victory.
- 11 Chris's response ultimately became, "You can't do 12 that."
 - Q. Did he say why?
 - A. Well, they wanted -- Indeck Keystone wanted representation that would -- individuals and companies that would represent all of their products, not just a portion of the product line.
 - Q. To the best of your knowledge, does Indeck Keystone Energy currently offer any water-tube package boilers for sale?
 - A. Yes, they do.
- 22 Q. Is there any limitation, to the best of your 23 knowledge, on the size capacity for the water-tube
- 24 package boiler that Indeck Keystone Energy is offering
- 25 for sale currently?

- 1 A. Yes, I think there is a specific range in 2 size and description of those boilers.
- 3 Q. Do you know the upper limit of that size 4 capacity?
 - A. Well, I think it's, for Victory, for their license, is 150,000 pounds an hour nominally.
 - Q. So to the best of your understanding, would it have been a conflict of interest for Christian Power to continue to represent Victory Energy for boilers below 150,000 pounds per hour of steam and
- 11 Indeck Keystone Energy for boilers above 150,000 12 pounds of power of steam?
- 13 MR. WILLIAMS: Objection to the form. 14 BY MR. SHEEAN:
 - Q. You can answer.
- 16 A. Well, my opinion usually doesn't count for 17 much when manufacturers' principals are making decisions. I think it probably would be possible to 18 19 do that.
- 20 Q. Did you make that proposal to anyone at 21 **Indeck Keystone Energy during your discussions** 22 relative to continuing on as a rep for Victory Energy? 23
 - A. No, I did not make that proposal.
- Q. Any reason why you didn't make that proposal? 24
- 25 A. For me, I didn't think that would be where

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

16

17

19

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 46

the cleanest split of product lines would lie. My feeling was that the cleanest split would be for me to represent the aftermarket existing boiler servicing for Indeck Keystone, balance of boilers for Victory.

- Q. Did anyone at Victory Energy ever tell you if they would consider it to be a conflict of interest for you to represent Indeck Keystone Energy on the aftermarket parts and continue to represent Victory for the boilers?
- A. To the best of my knowledge, nobody ever said that.
- Q. What was your reaction when Mr. Petcos told you at PowerGen that it would not be possible for you to continue representing both Indeck Keystone Energy and Victory Energy?
- A. It was a personal reaction. I was disappointed because it -- it -- it was going to result in me making a pretty tough decision that would affect, possibly affect, the balance of my product lines.
- Q. Did you convey to Chris Petcos or anyone at Indeck that you considered this to be a tough decision?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7.4

25

Q. Do you recall any response to your voicing

A. What do you mean, "preset"?

Q. When did you first learn that there would be a meeting with Chris Petcos and Jeff Coale off the display floor with a group of representatives?

Page 48

Page 49

- A. Oh, it was during the show. It had not been arranged for prior to that.
 - Q. How many other reps were in this meeting?
- 8 A. There were either three or four, including9 myself.
 - Q. Do you recall the names of any of those individuals?
- 12 A. Tom Patten, Gene Lockaby, Chuck Thacher. And 13 I'm not sure who the other person was. It could have 14 been Tommy Bronson, but I don't remember. I -- I 15 don't remember.
 - Q. Do you know whether or not any of the other four individuals that you believe may have been at this meeting were at that time Victory Energy representatives?
- A. To the best of my knowledge, I think they all were Victory representatives.
- Q. And who primarily did the talking at this meeting? Was it Mr. Petcos?
- A. I'd say it was about 50/50 between Chris and Jeff. I wouldn't say that one or the other conducted

Page 47

that -- strike that.

Did anyone from Indeck Keystone Energy respond when you told them this was a tough decision?

- A. Yeah, they acknowledged it. They knew that some reps would have a difficult time making a decision.
- Q. How did you leave it with Mr. Petcos and Mr. Coale in this first meeting on the floor of the PowerGen conference in Orlando in 2005 -- in 2004?
- A. Well, it was an exchange of views, but not a decision, a meeting where any decisions were going to be made. I don't think at that point they were asking for a deadline for a decision. I don't recall that.
 - Q. When was the next -- strike that.

You said there were a series of conversations at PowerGen. When was the next conversation at PowerGen relative to whether or not you could continue as a representative for both Victory Energy and Indeck Keystone Energy?

A. Well, I went by the booth a number of times, but not for a meeting, just to say hello. But before the PowerGen was over, we did have a group of reps meet with Chris and Jeff off the floor in a private room. Off of the display floor, not in a booth.

Q. Was this a preset meeting?

1 the meeting.

2 Q. How long did the meeting last?

A. Probably an hour.

Q. To the best of your recollection, what did Chris Petcos and Jeff Coale say, and what did the other representatives say who were there, including yourself?

A. Well, I think that was the first point in time where it was obvious that there wasn't going to be any negotiation with Indeck. We had to decide; we weren't going to be able to carve up portions of the lines from two different companies. It was pretty clearly stated that we had to make a decision.

Q. What did the reps say, to the best of your knowledge, if you recall?

A. Well, I guess one of the things that we needed to understand was what Indeck Keystone was going to be as a company, what products they were going to pursue, and just who they were going to be. And it's my opinion that nobody really knew at that point. I think Indeck Keystone was still trying to decide what they were going to do, but that's just my opinion; that wasn't stated.

Q. Anything else that you can recall from that meeting?

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

6

13

16

Page 50

- A. Um, well, we did talk about details in the proposed Representative Agreement. So there were some discussions on the language in the proposed contract.
- O. What discussions relative to the language in the proposed contract do you recall?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. Um, I don't recall all of the issues, but some pertain to what the commission schedule was going to be, what the treatment of commissions on rental boilers was going to be, and indemnification.
- Q. Why was indemnification discussed, if you know?
- A. Well, it's a -- it's an important point for me, but for most reps. It's frequently a discussion, a topic of discussion. It's frequently excluded, if possible, by manufacturers, and included, if possible, by reps. So it's not unusual that that be discussed.
- Q. Did you have any concerns, going into the meeting, regarding what you perceived Indeck's position would be regarding the commission schedule?
- A. Um, yes, I had a concern with the level of commissions and the method for crediting effort, and whether a portion of the commission could be attributed to the home office for their perceived contribution to the sales task.
 - Q. To the best of your knowledge at the time

Page 52 1 not Christian Power Equipment would be allowed to 2 continue as a representative for both Indeck Keystone 3 **Energy and Victory Energy?**

- A. These are meetings between myself and an 4 5 Indeck person, is that what you're saying?
- 6 Q. Well, let's -- no, I'm not limiting it in 7 that way. Any other meetings whatsoever.
 - A. Well, the reps talked among themselves. But there were no meetings.
 - Q. What other reps did you talk to about that?
- A. Um, I don't remember specifically all of the 12 reps, but many of them go back at least 20 years, and 13 so I've known quite a number. We were all uncertain where these companies were going, and so we were all 14 15 talking about it. But we didn't have any specific 16 meetings about it.
 - Q. Anything else that you can recall regarding your discussions with other representatives relative to this topic?
 - A. No, I wouldn't say so.
 - Q. To the best of your knowledge, did all four of the reps besides yourself who were at the meeting with Mr. Coale and Mr. Petcos at PowerGen in 2004 terminate their rep agreement with Victory Energy and go over to Indeck Keystone Energy?

Page 51

that you went into this meeting with Mr. Coale and Mr. Petcos, did Indeck have any type of a reputation in the industry relative to its treatment of representatives on commissions?

- A. I don't know if I -- yeah, I think they did -- did have a reputation.
- Q. What was that reputation, to the best of your knowledge?
- A. I think, right or wrong, reps had the perception that -- that you had to fight for any commission on a sale. And you needed to be pretty cautious about dealing with Indeck. And I'm not saying that pertained to Indeck of today, but certainly was a reputation from the past.
 - Q. Was Marsha Forsythe at PowerGen in '04?
- A. I don't recall seeing her. I don't know if she was there.
 - Q. You certainly didn't meet with her?
 - A. I didn't meet with her.
- 20 Q. Other than the conversation you had the first time you stopped by the booth, with Chris Petcos and 21 22 Jeff Coale, and this subsequent one-hour meeting 23 between Mr. Petcos, Mr. Coale, yourself, and three 24 other reps, do you recall any other specific meetings
- 25 during the PowerGen conference relative to whether or

MR. WILLIAMS: Objection to form, basis.

2 THE WITNESS: You know what, I don't know 3 what all of the reps have done. I know at least one did not go back to Indeck Keystone.

5 BY MR. SHEEAN:

- Q. Which one was that?
- 7 A. Tom Patten.
- 8 Q. Do you know if he's still a Victory Energy 9

rep?

- 10 A. To my knowledge, he is.
- 11 Q. How about Gene Lockaby?
- 12 A. I don't know.
 - Q. How about Chuck Thacher?
- 14 A. I don't know what any of them did.
- 15
 - A. I should, but I don't know.
- 17 Q. When was the next time after the PowerGen 18 conference in December 2005 (sic) that you had any conversation with anyone at Indeck relative to your 19 20 ability to continue on as a rep for Victory Energy?
- 21 A. I don't recall specific conversations during 22 the balance of December.
- 23 Q. When was the next date that you do recall?
- 24 A. I don't recall a specific date, but there
- 25 were conversations in January. I think there might

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

25

4

5

8

9

Page 54

have been a revision of the proposed contract that was sent out in -- sometime in the early part of '05.

- Q. Had you already seen a version of the proposed Representative Agreement prior to this first one going out in early 2005?
 - A. Yes, I had.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

- Q. When did you see that first version?
- A. You know, I don't remember. I believe that I had it -- I may have had it -- before PowerGen. I don't remember for sure.
- Q. Did the revisions in the proposed rep agreement that you received from Indeck Keystone take into account any of the concerns that were raised by you or any of the other reps during that meeting at PowerGen?
 - A. Yes, it did. There were modifications.
- 17 Q. Other than receiving this revised proposed Representative Agreement from Indeck Keystone Energy, 18 19 when was the next conversation or communication of any 20 kind that you had with anyone at Indeck Keystone 21 Energy regarding your ability to continue as a rep for 22 Victory Energy?
 - A. I don't recall a specific phone call. I know specifically it was a phone call, but I don't remember when that was. I'm going to say in January.

specific call. But in late January, I notified, I think both Chris and Jeff, that I was going to cancel

Page 56

3 my representation with Victory and sign the Indeck 4 Keystone contract.

- Q. Was there any single -- strike that. Did anything occur in January 2005 that caused you to sway your decision one way or the other?
- A. Probably the contract modification and an internal evaluation. Having no contact with Victory or with Indeck, but my internal evaluation of the best business decision for Christian Power Equipment, Inc.
- Q. What, if anything, did Mr. Petcos say when you communicated your decision to him?
- A. Well, there had been such a long relationship with myself and -- we'll call it the Zurn product 16 line -- all these different company names, he was happy I made that decision.
 - Q. Anything else that you can recall from that conversation?
 - A. No.
- 21 Q. And what did Mr. Coale say, if anything, that 22 you can recall from that conversation where you 23 informed him that you were going to sign the rep agreement with Indeck Keystone Energy? 24
 - A. I don't recall anything substantial. I'm

Page 55

- Q. And who initiated the call that you're referring to?
- 3 A. I don't remember if I did or Chris Petcos 4 did.
 - Q. It was between you and Mr. Petcos?
 - A. Yeah. Yes.
 - Q. And to the best of your recollection, what did you say and what did he say in this phone conversation?
 - A. Well, in early January, I was still undecided what I was going to do. I told him that.
 - Q. Anything that you can recall from that conversation?
 - A. Nothing specific, no.
 - Q. When was the next conversation, to the best of your recollection, with anyone at Indeck regarding whether you would continue on as a rep for Victory Energy?
 - A. Could you state that again?
- 20 Q. When was the next conversation, after the one 21 you just identified sometime in 2005 where you indicated you were still undecided, with anyone at 22 23 Indeck regarding whether you would continue on as a 24 rep for Victory Energy?
 - A. In late January -- and I don't remember the

Page 57 sure he was pleased that I had chosen to switch to 2

- 3 Q. Anything else that you can recall from either of those conversations?
 - A. No.
- 6 Q. When did you actually sign the rep agreement 7 with Indeck Keystone Energy? A. Well, I informed Mark White verbally on
- January 31. At least that's my -- best I can determine. And the contract was actually executed the 10 11 11th of March. I don't remember the date that I 12 signed it, but I think that's the date that's on the
- 13 contract. I sent a letter, an official letter, on
- 14 March 9th to Victory.
- Q. What did Mr. White say when you told him that 15 16 you were going to be cancelling?
- 17 A. He was disappointed. And it was -- he 18 actually initiated the call -- I remember it
- distinctly -- because he was calling me to tell me 19
- 20 they were cancelling my portion -- a portion of the
- 21 territory I was going to cover. The Oregon-Washington
- 22 territory was being taken away and given to a
- 23 different agency. And in that same phone
- 24 conversation, I informed him I'd made a decision to
- 25 cancel the Victory contract.

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

17

19

20

21

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

Page 102

package boilers?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SHEEAN: Objection, foundation.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember if the

4 literature talked about that.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q. To the best of your recollection, do you recall whether any of those brochures indicated any limitation on Victory's capacity to design or manufacture Keystone water-tube package boilers?
- A. I don't remember for sure, but I believe that, at least for the Keystone product line, it defined a size range.
 - Q. Do you remember what that size range was?
 - A. Um, you mean what the literature said it was?
- 15 Q. Yes, yes, exactly.
 - A. I don't remember what the literature said.
 - Q. Mr. Christian, if you would turn to paragraph 8(a) of the Representative Agreement. If you would read that into the record, please.
 - A. "8(a). Representative shall not handle, sell, distribute, or otherwise associate itself with any equipment that is similar to or competes in any way with the products."
 - Q. I know you're not a lawyer, so I'm not going to try to ask you for any type of legal conclusion,

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

2 Q. And when you say primary product, can you 3 give me an example of what's in your head when you use 4 that term.

Page 104

Page 105

A. Well --

MR. SHEEAN: Objection, form.

THE WITNESS: -- primary product in the case

8 that we're talking about might be package boilers.

9 That's an example of a primary product.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q. And to compare or contrast that, when you use the term "associated equipment," what types of things are you referring to?
- A. Well, boilers come with a lot of other parts, 15 such as trim. That's valves and sensors and other 16 equipment. In some cases, boilers might come with fuel-feeding devices, burners; back-end equipment: fans, stacks, pollution-control equipment, all of 18 which might be offered, but it would probably be a buyout item not manufactured by the boiler OEM.
- Q. From the point in time when you executed the 22 Representative Agreement up until the present, has your understanding of the meaning of paragraph 8(a) 23 24 changed in any way from what you've just testified to?
 - A. Um, the meaning can change over time as

Page 103

but I -- and I'm sure that Mr. Sheean will interpose an objection nonetheless. But I want to tell you that my next question is based upon simply your understanding as a principal of Christian Power Equipment and your experience in the industry. Is that fair?

- A. That's fair.
- Q. The question is, at the time that you signed the Representative Agreement, did you have any understanding as to what paragraph 8(a) meant?

MR. SHEEAN: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Well, in my business, you sell lots of allied and associated equipment. And manufacturers have a primary product that they manufacture that they're known for, but then they have a lot of associated equipment that may not be primary, but may be associated with what they sell.

This statement, in my mind, pertains to the primary products that are being offered more than the associated equipment that occasionally is offered. And the statement states that you are not to represent

23 24 another company that manufactures or offers equipment 25

that compete directly with the primary products.

companies add or drop product lines. I don't believe the meaning has changed, but I am -- I might be wrong about that. I don't know if the meaning has changed.

Let me add something to that. For the period of time that I represented Victory, I don't think the meaning changed of the products.

Q. Fair enough.

Based upon your experience as a sales representative, is that type of -- when I say "that type," I mean the clause paragraph 8(a) of the Victory Representative Agreement -- is that common in the industry?

- A. Whether the clause is in there, the intent of 13 14 that clause is common in the industry.
 - Q. All right, let's break it down.

Would you say that the intent is present 100 percent of the time?

18 MR. SHEEAN: Objection, calls for 19 speculation, lack of foundation.

20 THE WITNESS: Could you expand on the 21 question? I don't understand the question.

22 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

23 Q. Sure. You said that your answer -- if we 24 need to, we can have it read back. But I believe that 25 your answer was that whether or not the clause appears Page 106

in writing, the intent is there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

My question is, how often is the intent there in representative agreements?

MR. SHEEAN: Objection, lack of foundation, calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Well, I believe it's there. I could give you examples where it's not enforced. Not with the product lines that I handle, but with some product lines, and for some types of agencies. Generally, though, it doesn't apply in my case.

Q. Okay. I'll try to ask it in a more specific way, then. For all of the sales representative agreements that you have entered into for the boiler industry, has the intent been present for all of those agreements?

- A. I can't say that it's in there in writing. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. My question is still based upon the spirit or the intent that you first spoke of.
- A. Yeah, I believe that the companies that I've associated with expect me to exclusively represent them for their primary products.
- Q. And as to written contracts that you have entered into in your career and experience for boilers

Page 108

1 but some primary employees that stayed with the

- 2 company. This was very unlike that company to license
- 3 a product. So I was cautious about this potential
- 4 conflict that you're talking about.
- Q. Okay. My question was the predecessor to
 Christian Power Equipment, Inc., Christian -- that is,
 Christian Power Equipment --
 - A. Yeah.

8

12

Q. -- did that company ever represent two
 competing designers or manufacturers of boilers for
 their primary product line simultaneously?

MR. SHEEAN: Objection, asked and answered.

13 You can answer again.

14 THE WITNESS: I think I did. When I signed

15 the licensing agreement with Victory and continued

16 with an Erie Power Technologies agreement. The

17 difference there was what Erie Power Technologies,
 18 Inc. was willing to do, but at some time in their

Inc. was willing to do, but at some time in theirpast, they were willing to build package boilers. The

20 way the agreement was written, the employees of Erie

21 Power encouraged me and the other reps to sign that

22 agreement.

23 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q. Do you have any understanding as to why the folks at Erie Power encouraged you to sign that

Page 107

and in the boiler industry, how often would you say that a noncompete provision actually appears in writing in the agreement?

MR. SHEEAN: Objection, calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: I don't know. More than half of the time.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q. Has Christian Power Equipment, Inc. ever represented two competing designers or manufacturers for the same primary product line at the same time?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. And before it was Christian Power Equipment, Inc., when it was just Christian Power Equipment, did that company ever represent two competing manufacturers or designers for boilers for their primary products simultaneously?

A. Probably not, but let me give you an example. When this license was signed with Victory, when the license agreement occurred, I might have been one of the last reps to sign an agreement with Victory because I was very uncomfortable about the definition of product. And there was a definition based on size range more than anything, but it was a very un-Zurn like, we'll say -- this is a long line of companies,

1 agreement?

24

25

2

16

18

20

A. Well, they either -- they weren't successful

in selling package boilers. And so even though they
 had a pretty successful design, it was pretty much on

5 the shelf. And so they felt like there was some

6 value, but not in their hands, in someone else's

7 hands. So they licensed that to a company who was

8 willing to try to capitalize on the value of the

9 product design.

Q. And other than what we were just talking
 about where Christian Power Equipment was representing

12 Victory and had the Erie Power agreement in place, did

13 Christian Power Equipment ever simultaneously

14 represent any other competing boiler designer or

15 manufacturers at the same time?

MR. SHEEAN: Objection, vague.

17 You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.

19 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q. Would you read paragraph 8(c)?
- 21 A. "Representative shall furnish VEO with a
- 22 complete list of companies and equipment it presently
- 23 represents, and agrees to continually notify VEO of
- 24 any changes in that representation."
- 25 Q. Did Christian Power Equipment ever furnish

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

22

23

24

25

Page 110

Victory with such a list?

A. I believe I did.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you have any understanding as to the purpose of paragraph 8(c)?
- A. Well, 8(c) puts the -- in my mind -- a portion, if not all, of the responsibility in determining these conflicts into the hands of the OEM. At least they have the opportunity to assess representation that the rep has and at least question those potential conflicts, I suppose.
- Q. In your experience in this industry, is it common for the responsibility of determining a conflict to be on the OEM?
- A. I think it's a joint responsibility. I don't think everybody believes that, but I think it's joint. This is a partnership we enter into, and if you don't have a very open relationship with that partnership, it won't be long term.
- Q. Proceeding on to paragraph 10(d). I'll tell you what, I will give you a break from reading that into the record, and you can just read it to yourself.
 - A. (Witness complies.)

23 Okay.

> Q. Did Victory ever do anything to comply with paragraph 10(d) of the Representative Agreement?

A. Not to my knowledge.

2 Q. How about teleconferences among sales representatives; did Victory hold any of those while you were a representative of the company? Or while 5 Christian Power was a representative of the company.

Page 112

- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Paragraph 12(a) of the Representative Agreement, if you would read that to yourself and let me know when you're finished.
 - A. (Witness complies.)

Okay.

- Q. Do you have an understanding as to the meaning of that paragraph?
- A. I may have a different understanding today than I did three weeks ago.
- 16 Q. Okay, well, then let's take it in order. 17 What was your understanding of that paragraph at the time that you executed the Representative Agreement? 18
- A. Historically, when a cancellation or a 20 ceasing of a relationship has occurred, we've offered 21 to return literature. That's generally what manufacturers expect, and we've done so.
 - Q. I take it from your prior answer, that understanding changed at some point?
 - A. Well, I'm not sure it's changed, but I've

Page 111

- A. Um, I believe they did. I never issued a proposal on my own; Victory prepared those. They occasionally asked me what the status of projects were, or I reported the status of projects. In terms of accepting orders, I didn't take orders in the name of my company; I instructed any customer that they were going to enter an order not with Christian Power, but with Victory. That's a few examples of, you know, the internal paperwork that Victory expected us to do and we conformed with.
- Q. What about sales meetings; did Victory have sales meetings that someone from Christian Power attended during the term of the Representative Agreement?
 - A. Yes.

16 MR. SHEEAN: I'm going to object to the 17 extent that it's already been asked and answered. Sorry. 18

19 THE WITNESS: Yes, yes, we did attend. They 20 had meetings, and we attended.

21 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

> Q. Okay. Were there any meetings that Victory had that you or anyone else from Christian Power attended that Mr. Sheean did not ask about or you did not testify about earlier this morning?

Page 113 certainly got a new perspective on this. More as a

- 2 service to my customers, I keep files for a long time.
- 3 And frequently jobs come back to life, and so we -- we
- keep these files that are generally correspondence or 4
- 5 proposals written by principals. And after a certain
- 6 period of time, we destroy the file, if it's not an
- order. If it is an order, I keep it. So far, since 7
- 8 '79 I've got every order I ever received. And this --
- 9 this clause might bring into question whether I could 10 do that.

11 I don't think I've ever had a principal 12 question me as far as whether I keep files or not after the representation period ended. Certainly my 13 14 commitment to that principal, whether I'm representing 15 them or I just ended the representation, is to never 16 share any of that information, even if I go to a 17 competitor. Something I wouldn't do.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay, Mr. Christian, I believe we're out of tape, so we'll take a break.

20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Tape

No. 3. We're off the record at 1:25 p.m. 21 22

(Recess taken.)

23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of 24 Tape No. 4. We're on the record at 1:38 p.m. You may

25 proceed.

18

19

8

14

15

16

17

18

Page 118

understanding that paragraph 12(a) allows either party to the Representative Agreement to terminate the agreement without cause?

- A. Yes, either party can do that without cause.
- Q. Based upon your experience and years in the industry, is that a common clause that appears in representative agreements?

MR. SHEEAN: Objection, foundation, vague. THE WITNESS: I believe it is common.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

_5

- Q. And I'll ask it more specifically. With respect to Christian Power, is that a clause that appears in all of the representative agreements to which Christian Power has been a party over the last ten years?
 - A. No, it's not been in all of those contracts.
- Q. Can you estimate the percentage or tell me the percentage of contracts to which Christian Power was a party and there was an at-will termination clause included?
- A. Over 80 percent.
- Q. When Mr. Sheean was asking you why Christian Power decided to terminate the Representative Agreement with Victory, you said two things. And feel free to correct me if I mischaracterize you or if I'm

Page 120

- A. Well, the biggest factor is the percent of my business year in and year out that's constituted in the solid fuel aftermarket business, and those customers who rely on not just the products
- manufactured but my advice for a lot of other plant
 operating issues that are sales opportunities for me.
 - Q. Was revenue a factor that you considered in making that decision?
- 9 A. Well, it all boils down to revenue. But
 10 there's a big synergism between product lines. I
 11 would have lost that synergism, the anchor for that
 12 synergism, if I gave up my solid fuel aftermarket
 13 business.
 - Q. When you say "it all boils down to revenue," is that to say that your expectation is that Christian Power would generate more revenue as a representative of Indeck and Indeck Keystone Energy than it would representing Victory?

19 MR. SHEEAN: Objection, incomplete 20 hypothetical.

THE WITNESS: It's all speculation on what the long-term income was. But certainly the structure of my business and the short-term income was probably higher with Indeck. Not certainly, but probably.

25 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Page 119

just flat-out wrong.

You said, number one, the contract modifications swayed you. And number two, the internal evaluation that you had done at Christian Power led you to believe that it was the best decision for the company.

MR. SHEEAN: I object. Mischaracterizes his prior testimony.

But you can answer.

10 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q. Well, the first question is, does that fairly characterize what you said earlier this morning?

MR. SHEEAN: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: That is a characterization, similar characterization, to what I stated. I made a business decision, and Indeck convinced me that I could probably trust them.

18 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q. Just so you know, I'm not trying to play any games. What I'm trying to do is avoid asking you questions that you've already been asked and that you've answered.

So with respect to the business decision that you made, what were the factors or conclusions that you analyzed in making that business decision?

Page 121

Q. Am I at least correct that you did say that
the revisions to Indeck's proposed Representative
Agreement swayed you or had some impact on you? Did I
hear that right earlier this morning?

A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

MR. SHEEAN: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

Yes, that's true.

9 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q. Can you please tell me, though -- there were
 no questions about the details or the specifics. How
 was the proposed Representative Agreement from Indeck
 changed from the original to these revisions?

 A. This is my recollection. I don't remember
 - A. This is my recollection. I don't remember for sure, but I believe the Commission Schedule was changed. I'm certain that the wording for credit on a sale was changed. And there could have been a couple of other items that were changed in that contract.
- Q. When you said the Commission Schedule was
 changed, are you referring to the percentages of
 commission paid out?
- A. Yes, and for what type of work and for what products.
- Q. And it may be obvious, but I have to ask.
 How was it changed? Was it increased, decreased?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

22

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

Page 122

A. It was increased.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. You said wording for credit on sale was changed. Can you explain what you mean by that?
- A. Well, some companies and some individuals involved in both of these companies have presided over contract administration where a portion of the sales credit was attributed to the home office, and with no -- with no possibility of influence from the rep, and thereby reducing the commissions paid. And all of the reps were very concerned about that issue.
- Q. And so how was the wording for credit on the sale changed in the revision of the proposed Indeck Representative Agreement?
- A. You know, I can't answer specifically. It took a form that I felt like represented good intent.
- Q. Did it provide for more liberal application of commissions?
- A. What it did was state that -- I believe it states that 100 percent of the possible commission would be awarded on every job. If it doesn't state that, we had a verbal commitment to it.
- Q. Okay. And as we sit here today, can you recall any other details about the changes or revisions to the proposed Indeck Representative Agreement?

Page 124
Q. During the February 2, 2005 conversation that had you with Mr. White, did he do anything to try and persuade Christian Power to reconsider its decision to terminate the Representative Agreement?

- A. Um, I don't believe that he did. It was a fairly brief conversation. I don't remember that there was much give and take at all about the decision I'd made or why or an attempt to try to persuade me not to do that.
- Q. Other than Mr. White, did anyone from
 Victory -- anyone else from Victory -- try to persuade
 Christian Power to stay on as a representative?
 - A. No, I don't believe so.
 - Q. At any time, did anyone from Victory ever tell Christian Power that it should not do business with Indeck or any of its affiliates?
 - A. No.
- Q. At any time, has anyone from Victory ever
 told Christian Power anything negative or disparaging
 about Indeck or any of its affiliates?

21 MR. SHEEAN: Objection, vague.

THE WITNESS: Um, I don't believe so. I

23 don't remember anybody ever saying negative -- making

24 negative comments about Indeck.

25 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Page 123

- A. I really can't.
- Q. Mr. Christian, if you would turn, in Exhibit 11, to the page toward the end. I think you marked it with a 2 in the upper right-hand corner, the March 9 letter to Mark White.
 - A. (Witness complies.)
- Q. Prior to your conversation with Mr. White on February 2nd where he told you that Victory was taking away part of your territory, had you had any other conversations with anyone at Victory regarding an assessment of Christian Power's performance under the Representative Agreement?
 - A. No.
- Q. Were there ever any talks or discussions prior to February 2 of 2005 about performance of Christian Power under the agreement?
- A. You mean lack of performance or any kind of performance?
 - Q. Any kind of performance: good, bad.
- 20 A. There were discussions and compliments given 21 to the quality of representation I was providing.
- Meaning that it was good quality.Q. How about bad?
- A. No, I don't remember any bad. Could be selective memory, but, no, I don't think so.

- Q. Did anyone at Victory ever say anything negative or disparaging about any of Indeck's employees or employees of any affiliate of Indeck to you?
 - A. No, no.
 - Q. Again, just to try and streamline this, I'm going to characterize your earlier testimony. You tell me if I'm right or wrong, and we'll use that as a springboard to go from there.

10 You said earlier this morning that you don't
11 think Indeck initially -- Indeck Keystone Energy -12 initially knew what kind of company it wanted to be or
13 what kind of products it wanted to design or
14 manufacture.

Is that an accurate characterization?

- A. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. What's your basis for that?
- A. Well, I'll point to this most recent order
 that we gave back. There was a lot of effort put out
 to put together a proposal, revise it, work with the
- 21 customer. This wasn't a case of a customer calling or
- 22 me stumbling over someone and getting a very quick,
- 23 no, we don't want to pursue this. We went all the way
- 24 through design and detail pricing and receiving a
- 25 purchase order, and then changed our mind. That's an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Page 130

- 1 place. And those comments go way back. It must be
- 2 the early days of Erie Power. But that wasn't
- 3 pertaining to the details; it was just the concept of
- licensing a boiler.
- 5 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Are you referring to a conversation that you had with Mr. Petcos while Erie Power was in existence?
- A. Yeah, that would go back to the Erie Power days. And I don't know that there was a specific conversation; I just heard it said.
- Q. Skipping forward to after the formation of Indeck Keystone Energy, LLC, did Mr. Petcos ever make any representations to Christian Power one way or the other regarding whether IKE would honor the license agreement that was in place with Victory?
 - A. No.
- Q. Are there other boiler sales representatives that are active in the same territories in which Christian Power is active?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MR. SHEEAN: Objection, vague.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 23 Yes, there are. I have competitors.
- 24 BY MR. WILLIAMS:
 - Q. Who are they?

- A. I don't know if any sales company would say they were limited to anything. I mean, we're pretty independent. Some people would say roguelike. But one of those companies is really focused on package boilers.
 - O. Which one is that?
 - A. That's R.F. McDonald.
- Q. I take it none of those eight companies or representatives that you've listed also represent Indeck currently.
- A. I don't know who -- oh, no, none of those companies represent Indeck.
- Q. Of the eight companies that we were just talking about, do you know the reputation of any of them?

MR. SHEEAN: Objection, asked and answered.

17 THE WITNESS: Well, reputation is a 18 subjective thing. My opinion of their reputation is 19 different than the next person's. But I do know the

20 reputation of some of those companies.

21 BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q. Which ones do you know?
- A. Probably all of them. I have a reputation opinion on all of them.
 - Q. Is it the same, or do we need to go through

Page 133

Page 131

A. Um, A.H. Merrill & Associates. They've changed their name to AHM now. R.F. McDonald.

I'm assuming you're asking this in terms of package boilers. Are you asking about package boilers or all types of boilers?

- Q. I'm asking about all types of boilers; let's include everyone.
- A. Um, Nationwide Boiler, B&W. Let's see. Ted Pullen. That's not the name of his company, that's the name of the individual, the name of a person. Brad Thompson. Cole Industrial, C-o-l-e, Cole Industrial. Floyd Welch & Associates. I'm sure there are others. That's a pretty representative example sample.
- Q. In your opinion from your years and experience in the industry, are the representatives that you've listed for us here competent representatives?
- A. Um, I think most of them are competent. They all have their strengths and their weaknesses, but they're competent.
- Q. With respect to the eight sales
 representatives that you listed that compete in your
 territory, are any of those limited to water-tube
 package boilers?

1 it individually? You tell me.

A. It's widely varying.

Q. Why don't we then go through them.

Your understanding of AHM's reputation, what is that?

- A. It's excellent. They are the Coen representative, Coen Burner, C-o-e-n, the Coen Burner representative. And their company is structured like mine, and they function mostly as a rep as opposed to a distributor or buy/resell service company.
 - Q. What about R.F. McDonald's reputation?
- A. They are far and away the largest boiler, package boiler, service company in California. And because they're large, they have a reputation for being available and, in many cases, competent.
- 16 They've grown rapidly, and in some cases they also
- have a reputation of not having consistent quality.
 Sometimes -- and it may be because of their size, but
- Sometimes -- and it may be because of their size, but sometimes they have not satisfied their customers.

They are a case of a company that will sign
these kind of agreements with two or three competing
companies and represent three, maybe four companies
producing the same product, and choose for themselves
who gets the inquiry. Because of their size, they get
away with that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 134

Q. Is that an anomaly in the industry? A. You know, I don't know. It's certainly an

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

anomaly in the rep business. But in the service company and distributor business, it may be more the norm.

- Q. How about Nationwide Boiler's reputation?
- A. Nationwide's got a good reputation. They're very similar to Indeck in that they're a rental boiler company, and they will sell new boilers. They pretty much had the West Coast rental market to themselves because they don't have very many competitors that carry a fleet located in the West. They've been successful selling package boilers.
- Q. How about B&W's reputation as a sales representative in your area?
- A. Well, B&W is representing their own products. 16 17 They have a very excellent reputation for boiler 18 knowledge. And they are a company that people who run large utility-sized boilers turn to for service. 19 They'll quote large package boilers directly, but under a certain size -- and I don't know -- under 21 22 200,000 pounds an hour, they hope that Nationwide will 23 sell for them.
 - Q. What about Ted Pullen's reputation?
 - A. He's got a good reputation. He's strictly a

1 A. (Witness complies.)

Q. It states, "Product Schedule.

"The following products are included within this agreement."

Page 136

Page 137

"Keystone water-tube boilers: 8M - 22M (29,000 pph - 157,000 pph);

"VEO HRSG's (heat recovery steam generator) systems - all sizes."

Did I read that correctly?

- 10 A. That's what I have on my contract. I think 11 vou did.
- 12 Q. Good. Had you read that at the time that you 13 executed the Representative Agreement?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Other than -- strike that.

Mr. Christian, I believe you testified that you had never seen a copy of the license agreement between Victory and Erie Power or any successor that purchased that technology. Is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Did you or anyone from Christian Power ever 22 request to see a copy of that license agreement?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Did anyone from Victory Energy ever offer to 24 25 provide you with a copy of the license agreement?

Page 135

manufacturer's representative, and he handles large boilers and turbines and is well regarded in the industry.

- Q. And Brad Thompson's reputation?
- A. Brad is also a rep who handles similar product lines, large capital equipment. He's known to be technically competent but maybe not quite as trustworthy as some of the other reps. But he's done a very good job with some accounts.
 - Q. How about Cole Industrial's reputation?
- A. That's sort of changing. They've grown from a small, regional service company to a much larger full service supplier of services and products. And they are considered to be a good, very good, supplier.
 - Q. And Floyd Welch's reputation?
- A. They were probably the No. 1 power rep in Northern California. They've shrunk to one retiring individual, so their reputation is really at a low, low point now. But they'd be thought of as a boiler supplier at least up until the last year.
- Q. Going back to the Representative Agreement with Victory Energy. Would you turn to -- I think 22 it's one of the last pages -- it is the last page of 24 the Representative Agreement. It's titled Product
- 25 Schedule.

1 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. Did Victory ever give Christian Power any instructions regarding which size boilers to target in its sales efforts?

MR. SHEEAN: Objection. Other --

Go ahead.

I'm going to object because I think it mischaracterizes the document in front of us.

But go ahead; you can answer.

THE WITNESS: This contract language here in writing states what size of boilers that we were to pursue that were the Keystone water-tube type. BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q. Okay. And that's fair. I'll rephrase the auestion.

Other than what is set forth on the Product Schedule, did Christian Power receive any instructions from anyone at Victory regarding which size Keystone package water-tube boilers Christian Power should pursue on its behalf?

- A. No, I never received any document, other than this document.
- 23 Q. And my question applies not just to documents, but verbally, on the telephone, by e-mail, 24 25 in any mode of communication.